

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/	818,499	03/28/2001	Shinji Tadaki	1082.1036	2280	
. 211	71 7590	03/03/2003				
ST	TAAS & HAL	SEY LLP	Y LLP		EXAMINER	
SU	0 11TH STREE JITE 500	,		PERRY, ANTHONY T		
W.	WASHINGTON, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2879		
				DATE MAILED: 03/03/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	/					
(,	Application N .	Applicant(s)					
	09/818,499	TADAKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Anthony T Perry	2879					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 l	December 2002 .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The section is FINAL .	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 21-27 is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-27</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	n(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 March 2001 is/are: a							
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		• •					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, •						
•	ammer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		.) (-1) (5)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	i)-(a) or (t).					
, , ,	a haya haan raasiyad						
1. Certified copies of the priority document		on No					
2. Certified copies of the priority document							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International But* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 21-27 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as

being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election

was made without traverse in Paper No. 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention. The examiner cannot determine what is meant by "a transmissivity of $10\%/10\mu m$

or less to visible light" in claim 15, lines 2-3. Similarly the examiner cannot determine what is

meant by "dielectric layer has a reflectance of 50%/10µm or more" in claim 16, line 2. Likewise,

the claims have not been further treated based on the merits.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/818,499 Page 3

Art Unit: 2879

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Horiuchi et al. (JP 10-146273) (US patent 6,184,621 used as translation).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 3 and 4, Fig. 1 shows a plasma display panel comprising electrodes arranged on a substrate on a rear-side, a dielectric layer provided covering the electrodes and a fluorescent layer formed on the front-side of the dielectric layer. The layer is formed of a mixture of a base material (glass) and a filler such as silica powder, alumina powder, etc. (col. 13, lines 43-45). The filler has a smaller relative dielectric constant than the base material, and the dielectric layer has a smaller relative dielectric constant and a larger reflectance than a layer formed of the base material without the filler. The relative dielectric constant of the dielectric layer is less than 10.

Regarding claim 6, the Horiuchi references teaches the thickness of the dielectric layer is preferably from 5 to 20µm in terms of the formation of a uniform dielectric layer and therefore teaches a thickness less than 10µm. If the thickness exceeds 20µm then, at the time of firing, the removal of the organic component is difficult and cracks are readily produced and, furthermore, the stress applied to the substrate is large, so there is the problem that the substrate warps. Moreover, with less than 5µm it is difficult to secure thickness uniformity (col. 11, lines 23-31).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 7-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horiuchi et al. (JP 10-146273) (US patent 6,184,621 used as translation).

Regarding claim 5, it is noted that the applicant's specific type of filler being hollow micro-balloons, does not solve any of the stated problems or yield any unexpected result that is not within the scope of the teachings applied. Therefore it is considered to be a matter of choice, which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious to select any appropriate filler (silica, glass micro-balloons, alumina, etc.) for the dielectric layer as long as it reduces the relative dielectric constant and increases the reflectance.

Regarding claims 7 and 8, the Horiuchi reference teaches a plasma display panel comprising a dielectric layer in which a filler for enhancing reflectance is dispersed (col. 13, lines 43-45). It is noted that the applicant's specific type and shape of the filler being mica flakes with titanium dioxide coating, does not solve any of the stated problems or yield any unexpected result that is not within the scope of the teachings applied. Therefore it is considered to be a matter of choice, which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious to select any suitable type and form for the filler (hollow glass, silica powder, alumina particles, titania-coated mica flakes, etc.) for the dielectric layer as long as it reduces the relative dielectric constant and increases the reflectance.

Regarding claims 9-12, Horiuchi teaches of a dielectric layer containing silicon oxide, a low-melting-point glass, as a base material. Horiuchi further teaches that the filler make up 5-50 wt% of the dielectric layer which includes 10-50 wt% which is within the range of 10-80 wt% (col. 13, lines 31-49).

Regarding claim 13 and 17, in Fig. 1, Horiuchi teaches a plasma display panel substrate structure that comprises barrier ribs for partitioning a discharge space, wherein the sidewalls of the barrier ribs are covered with the dielectric layer.

Regarding claim 14 and 18, the barrier ribs may be black so as to improve the contrast (col. 15, lines 15-18).

Regarding claims 19-20, Fig. 1 of the Horiuchi reference shows a light-shielding layer (MgO layer) provided on the front side with respect to a discharge space and the dielectric layer provided on the rear side with respect to the light-shielding layer. The front plate portion of the plasma display panel in Fig. 1 shows a dielectric layer and a light-shielding layer (MgO layer) provided on a substrate.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Gerlome et al. (US 5,605,781); Iijima et al. (US 5,264,758); and Gerlome et al. (US 5,464,726); and Haluska et al. (US 5,436,084)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Anthony Perry* whose telephone number is (703) 305-1799. The

Page 6

examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 9:00AM to 5:30PM Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel, can be reached on (703) 305-4794. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7382.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [Anthony.perry@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Anthony Perry Patent Examiner

Inter 7 Us

Art Unit 2879

February 24, 2003

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800